ISISE

C

Structural assessment of timber structures. Knowns, known unknowns, and unknown unknowns

Jorge M. Branco University of Minho jbranco@civil.uminho.pt





There are known knowns. There are things we know that we know. There are known unknowns. That is to say, there are things that we now know we don't know. But there are also unknown unknowns. There are things we do not know we don't know. Donald Rumsfeld

USA secretary of defense



Structural assessment of timber structures. Knowns, known unknowns, and unknown unknowns

Jorge M. Branco 3

Known knowns



Timber is an inhomogeneous building material











University of Minho

- **Timber is an inhomogeneous building material**
- □ The safety and serviceability assessment of a timber structure is always dependent upon the capability to restrain the large range of properties that can be allocated to timber members



Strength grading



Homogenization



- □ Timber is an inhomogeneous building material
- □ The safety and serviceability assessment of a timber structure is always dependent upon the capability to restrain the large range of properties that can be allocated to timber members
- Structural timber members present high variability of properties being influenced by wood species, density, defects and moisture content

6

Jorge M. Branco



Wood species

WOOD SPECIES INFORMATION IS THE BASIS FOR ASSIGNING RELIABLE DESIGN VALUES TO TIMBER MEMBERS



J.S. Machado, F. Pereira, T. Quilhó Assessment of old timber members: Importance of wood species identification and direct tensile test information. *Construction Building Materials*, 207 (2019), pp. 651-660.



University of Minho

Structural timber

Table: Coefficients of variation for clear wood and structural timber

Property	Clear wood	Structural timber
Density	10	10
Tension strength parallel to grain	25	30
Bending strength	16	25
Compression strength parallel to grain	18	20
Modulus of elasticity in bending	22	13





- □ Timber is an inhomogeneous building material
- □ The safety and serviceability assessment of a timber structure is always dependent upon the capability to restrain the large range of properties that can be allocated to timber members
- Structural timber members present high variability of properties being influenced by wood species, density, defects and moisture content
- □ Visual strength grading (VSG) was the 1st NDT to be developed. Other NDT and SDT methods were developed as auxiliary tools to VSG









Figure: Current factors used to define the mechanical performance of timber elements onsite (adapted from Machado et al., 2011)



С



isise Institute for Sustainability and Innovation in Structural Engineering

University of Minho

□ The use of current grading standards for new wood is unsuitable for several reasons, among which the following can be highlighted: cross-section dimensions are usually much greater than those currently used, the reliability of applying current standards to historical timber has not been adequately verified, and some defects such as distortion and fissures, which are usually greater in large cross-section timber, hardly affect mechanical properties



Visual grading of old timber elements



UNI 11035:2003 and UNI 11119:2004.

Sousa, H.S., Branco, J.M., Lourenço, P.B., Neves, L.C. (2016), Inference on stiffness and strength of existing chestnut timber members using Hierarchical Bayesian Probability Networks. *Materials and Structures*. 49(10). pp 4013-4028

- The main objective when evaluating the strength of an historical timber structure should be to keep of as much of the original material as possible
- Several non and semi-destrutive testing (NDT and SDT) methods have been developed to increase the VSG accuracy
- □ NDT and SDT methods can be differentiated based on the type of information provided:
 - Local (limited to small volume of the element) or global (all volume of the element)
 - Direct measurement or an indirect measurement of the desired property (density, strength, stiffness)
 - Qualitative or quantitative measurements



Core drilling

- □ The density is calculated by means of small cores extracted by the main piece or by correlation with other parameters, and can be used to determine the species and the quality of the material
- □ It should be taken several samples to have a global idea of the structure





Sample extraction











Pin penetration













Drill resistance







Pullout resistance







Time of flight







isise Institute for Sustainability and Innovation in Structural Engineering



С

U

•

Structural assessment of timber structures. Knowns, known unknowns, and unknown unknowns

Load tests

















Structural assessment of timber structures. Knowns, known unknowns, and unknown unknowns

Jorge M. Branco 22

Known unknowns



Do I need to grade all members?





Method	Determine species	Measure MC	Locate deterioration	Quantify deterioration	Assess strength	Determine stiffness	Identify hidden details
Visual inspection			Limited				
Remote visual inspection			Limited	Limited			Yes
Species identification	Yes						
Moisture measurements		Yes					
Digital radioscopy			Yes	Limited			Yes
Ground penetrating radar		Limited	Limited				Limited
Infrared thermography		Limited	Limited				Limited
Stress waves			Limited	Limited	Limited	Estimate	
Resistance drilling			Yes	Yes	Limited		Limited
Core drilling			Yes		Estimate	Estimate	
Tension microspecimens					Estimate	Estimate	
Glueline test		Limited	Limited		Limited		
Screw withdrawal			Limited		Limited		
Needle penetration			Limited		Limited		
Pin pushing			Yes	Limited	Estimate		
Surface hardness			Limited		Limited		

Table 1 Effectiveness of NDT and SDT methods to assess structural timber

M. Riggio, R.W. Anthony, F. Augelli, B. Kasal, T. Lechner, W. Muller, T. Tannert. In-situ assessment of structural timber using non-destructive techniques. *Materials and Structures*, 47 (2014), pp. 749-766

University of Minho

С・

Coefficients and correlations

Table 1

Coefficients of determination between NDT/SDT methods and density.

Wood species	Method used			
	Screw withdrawal	PR	DR	CD
Abies alba Mill.		0.78 [31]		0.64 [40]
Picea abies (L.)		0.50 [60]	0.65 [49]	0.89
H. Karst.		CLASS SUBJ		[430]
Pinus radiate D. Don	0.67 [61]	0.61 [61]		64 MG
Pinus pinaster Aiton	0.67 [61]	0.61 [61]	0.70 [57]	0.48 [57]
Pinus sylvestris L.	0.67 [61]	0.61 [61]		
Pinus nigra J.F. Arnold	0.67 [61]	0.61 [61]		
Castanea sativa Mill.			0.36-0.38	
			[62]	
Several species		0.003[4]	0.0039 [4]	

PR - penetration resistance; DR - drilling resistance; CD - core drilling.

A. Feio, J.S. Machado, In situ assessment of timber structural members: combining information from visual strength grading and NDT/SDT methods – A review. *Construction Building Materials*, 101 (2) (2015), pp. 1157-1165

Wood species and reference	Model	Main result
Modulus of elasticity		
Abies alba [31]	$E_{\rm m} = f(v, PR)$	$E_{m,1} (r^2 = 0.63);$ $E_{m,g} (r^2 = 0.71)$
	$E_{\rm m} = \mathbf{f} \ (v, \ PR, \ K)$	$E_{m,l} (r^2 = 0.71);$ $E_{m,g} (r^2 = 0.68)$
	$E_{\rm m}={\rm f}\left(v,PR,SoG\right)$	$E_{m,1} (r^2 = 0.69);$ $E_{m,g} (r^2 = 0.74)$
	$E_{\rm m} = f(v, PR, SoG, K)$	$E_{m,1} (r^2 = 0.83);$ $E_{m,g} (r^2 = 0.76)$
Picea abies [60]	$E_{\rm m} = f(\delta, A, R)^{**}$	$E_{\rm m,1} \left(r^2 = 0.62 \right)$
Several species [4]	$E_{\rm m}={\rm f}\left(\delta,A,R\right)^{**}$	$E_{\rm m,l} \left(r^2 = 0.06 \right)$
Castanea sativa [32]	$\begin{split} E_{\rm m} &= f \; (v_\nu, \rho, l) \\ E_{\rm m} &= f \; (E_{\rm dyn,u}, l) \\ E_{\rm m} &= f \; (v_u, \rho, l) \end{split}$	$\begin{split} E_{\rm m,g} \left(r^2 = 0.74 \right) \\ E_{\rm m,g} \left(r^2 = 0.715 \right) \\ E_{\rm m,g} \left(r^2 = 0.721 \right) \end{split}$
Modulus of rupture		
Castanea sativa [32]	$f_m = f (E_{dyn,v}, K, l)$ $f_m = f (E_{dyn,u}, K, l)$ $f_m = f (E_{dyn,v}, K)$	$f_{\rm m} (r^2 = 0.33) f_{\rm m} (r^2 = 0.27) f_{\rm m} (r^2 = 0.19)$
Pinus pinaster [68]	$f_{\rm m} = f(E_{\rm dyn,u}, \delta)$	$f_{\rm m} \ (r^2 = 0.50)$

f (...) – regression model; $E_{\rm m}$ – bending modulus of elasticity; $E_{\rm ml}$ – local modulus of elasticity; $E_{\rm m,g}$ – global modulus of elasticity; v – stress wave velocity; PR – penetration resistance; *SoG* – slope of grain; K – knots dimension; δ – Correction factor function of the size of defects; A – coefficient function of the wood species; R – load force; $v_{\rm v}$ – vibrational velocity; ρ – density; l – length of the test piece; $E_{\rm dyn,u}$ – dynamic modulus of elasticity (ultrasonic); $E_{\rm dyn,v}$ – dynamic modulus of elasticity (vibrational analysis); $f_{\rm m}$ – bending strength.

* Details of the way to measure each variable can be found in the original work.

** Hardness test by Piazza and Turrini.

Table 2



Reference material properties



(1) Effect of defects is already taken into account

isise

University of Minho

С

Preliminary survey

Assessment of timber members

A. Feio, J.S. Machado, In situ assessment of members: timber structural combining information from visual strength grading and NDT/SDT methods – A review. Construction Building Materials, 101 (2) (2015), pp. 1157-1165





Carpentry joints



Branco, J.M., Descamps, T. (2015), Analysis and strengthening of carpentry joints. *Construction and Building Materials*. 97: 34–47.

• U C • K

Carpentry joints (NEW) – Failures modes



Branco J.M., Verbist, M.P., Descamps, T., Design of Three Step Joint Typologies: Review of European Standardized Approaches. *Engineering and Structures*. (2018) 174: 573-585.



U



University of Minho



Field tests – Overall and local (joints) behaviour



Branco J.M., Varum H., Matos F.T., On-site full-scale tests of a timber queen-post truss. *International Journal of Architectural Heritage*. (2018) 12:545-554.



Field tests – Assessment of the joints response



Semi-rigid modelling of joints

lisise



University of Minho

Field tests – Connections stiffness



isise Institute for Sustainability and Innovation in Structural Engineering





University of Minho

Structural assessment of timber structures. Knowns, known unknowns, and unknown unknowns

Jorge M. Branco 35

Unknown knowns



Unknown knowns

To support expert's decision, NDT and SDT methods were developed as auxiliary tools to VSG for the allocation of more reliable (meaning closest to the real value) mechanical characteristics to structural timber members in situ. However several shortcomings explains why their applications is limited and the final decision is still based solely on expert's opinion and application of a simplified set of visual rules.

An **unknown known** is information that an individual or organization has in its possession but whose existence, relevance or value has not been realized.



Degradation & Aging

In the case of timber member in situ, other factors affecting material properties should also be taken into consideration. A conservation factor linked to the degradation by fungi or insects with implication in the reduction of the material properties (k_{con}) and an aging factor that attends to physical and mechanical deterioration of the timber materials due to time in service (k_a). Thus Eq. 1 becomes Eq. 2.

J.S. Machado, P.B. Lourenço, P. Palma, Assessment of the structural properties of timber in situ members _ а probabilistic approach, in: International SHATIS'11 Structural Conference on Health Assessment of Timber Structures, Lisbon, 2011.

$$X_{d} = \frac{k_{1}}{\gamma_{M}} \cdot X_{k} \cdot k_{h} \cdot k_{con} \cdot k_{a}$$
⁽²⁾

where k_{con} = reduction of wood properties due to conservation status, k_a = reduction of wood properties due to time in service (aging factor).

The Eurocode 5 factors in Eq. 2, are generally taken as constants, dependent on the worst situation to which the members are exposed while in service. The other two factors (k_{con} and k_a) are more difficult be define, because the way in which the degradation of the wood material by fungi or insects leads to the deterioration of the mechanical performance of structural elements is left to the judgment of the expert evaluating the structure. As regards aging, a long discussion exists about the need to consider this factor for structural members (gross cross-section). From the experience with white pine timber, Suter (1982) suggested a value of 0.9 for k_a .



Decay on dowel-type joints







Carpentry joints - Beetle attack







Residual





Moisture propagation & Fungi

Institute for Sustainability and Innovation in Structural Engineering

lisise



L. Nunes, M. Verbist, D. F. Lima, J.M. Branco, Preliminary testing of moisture propagation at timber beam-ends in contact with wet masonry, in: *ICMB'21 1st International Conference on Moisture in Buildings,* UCL London, 28-29 June 2021.



Structural assessment of timber structures. Knowns, known unknowns, and unknown unknowns

Jorge M. Branco 41

Unknown unknowns







Unknown unknowns

- Visual inspection is the first step to a full diagnostic of an existing structure.
- Work focused on the mechanical survey of elements and joints for structural analysis purposes.
- Future actions and interventions are result of present diagnostic.



Figure: Assessment and planning of interventions in historic timber structures (adapted from Cruz et al., 2013)













King-post truss – Load tests



Branco, J.M., Piazza, M., Cruz, P.J.S. (2010), Structural analysis of two king-post timber trusses. Nondestructive evaluation and load-carrying tests. *Construction and Building Materials*. 24 (3), 2010, 371-383. URL: <u>http://hdl.handle.net/1822/13995</u>.





Structural assessment of timber structures. Knowns, known unknowns, and unknown unknowns









University of Minho

Unknown unknowns

In the assessment of existing timber structures the uncertainty can be considered as epistemic (the errors are only due to our incapacity to get the necessary information, to deal with human errors or to apply the correct test methods).



Sise Institute for Sustainability and Innovation in Structural Engineering

University of Minho

ISISE

С

U

Structural assessment of timber structures. Knowns, known unknowns, and unknown unknowns

Obrigado!

Jorge M. Branco University of Minho jbranco@civil.uminho.pt



